1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 MARTHA ZEPEDA OLIVARES, et al., Case No. 1:23-cv-01575 JLT SAB 10 ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED Plaintiffs, MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO FILE 11 AMENDED COMPLAINTS v. 12 ORDER VACATING JULY 30, 2025 CITY OF FRESNO, et. al., **HEARING** 13 Defendants. (ECF Nos. 57, 59, 60, 61) 14 FIVE DAY DEADLINE 15 MARIA SOSA, et al., 16 Plaintiffs, 17 v. 18 CITY OF FRESNO, et al., 19 20 Defendants. 21 22 On June 23, 2025, Plaintiffs Maria Sosa, L.S., and M.S. ("Sosa Plaintiffs") filed a motion for leave 23 to file a second amended complaint in this consolidated action. (ECF No. 57.) 24 On June 23, 2025, Plaintiffs Martha Zepeda Olivares and Maximiliano Sosa, Sr. ("Olivares 25 Plaintiffs") filed a joinder in the Sosa Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 26 59.) On June 24, 2025, the Olivares Plaintiffs lodged a proposed third amended complaint. (ECF No. 60.) 27 On June 26, 2025, Defendants filed a statement of non-opposition to both the Sosa Plaintiffs'

motion to amend their complaint (ECF No. 57) and the Olivares Plaintiffs' joinder (ECF Nos. 59, 60).

28

## Case 1:23-cv-01575-JLT-SAB Document 62 Filed 06/26/25 Page 2 of 2

(ECF No. 61.) Accordingly, the Court finds this matter suitable for decision without oral argument, and shall vacate the July 30, 2024, hearing. L.R. 230(g).

Under Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, leave to amend shall be freely given when justice so requires. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). "In the absence of . . . undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of amendment, etc.—the leave sought should . . . be 'freely given.'" Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962), quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). In other words, "[a]bsent prejudice, or a strong showing of any of the remaining Foman factors, there exists a presumption under Rule 15(a) in favor of granting leave to amend." Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, the Court finds that granting leave to amend to both the Sosa Plaintiffs and the Olivares Plaintiffs implicates none of the <u>Forman</u> factors. Indeed, Defendants have filed a non-opposition, which demonstrates that they will not be prejudiced by the granting of the motion and joinder.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The hearing set for July 30, 2025 is VACATED;
- 2. The Sosa Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a second amended complaint (ECF No. 57) is GRANTED;
- 3. The Olivares' joinder motion for leave to file a third amended complaint (ECF Nos. 59, 60) is GRANTED; and
- 4. The Sosa and Olivares Plaintiffs shall file their amended complaints within **five** (5) **days** of the entry of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **June 26, 2025** 

STANLEY A. BOONE United States Magistrate Judge